

Influence of Soil Conductivity on Vineyard Maturity (2014)

Pollak Vineyards

Benoit Pineau

Purpose: Identify effects of soil conductivity variations on vineyard dynamics and winemaking. Methods: A 3-acre block of Cab Franc was mapped according to soil conductivity by Hydrogeo Environmental LLC; similar sized plots (approx. 0.75 acres) with a large differential in conductivity were identified and managed identically. Maturity control was performed separately on these two blocks, identified as low conductivity (LC) and high conductivity (HC). The blocks were harvested and processed identically but separately. Results: There were no variations in maturity control, and triangle testing showed no significant taste difference between groups. Lab analysis shows differences in micronutrient content of finished wines. Conclusion: Electromagnetic conductivity was correlated with micronutrient content in finished wines.

Presented at Trump Winery on January 28, 2015

Keywords: electromagnetic, conductivity, potassium, cab franc, micronutrient, potassium

Introduction:

Electromagnetic conductivity soil mapping is a measurement of electrical current that often times correlates with various soil characteristics that determine plant health. These characteristics can include drainage, salt composition, nutrient density, and soil character. High electrical conductivity correlates to high cation exchange capacity, and is often found in drier soils (due to less salt leaching) or clay soils. When conductivity mapping is used in vineyards, it may provide valuable information on vineyard management, and help the winemaker select the most productive and hearty vines.

Methods:

Electromagnetic (EM) conductivity mapping of a 3-acre Cab Franc block facilitated differentiation of two distinct soil types within the vineyard: a clay soil and a sandy clay loam with gravels. Similar sized plots (approx. 0.75 acres) of each soil type were delineated and managed identically (figure 1). The clay soil is identified in this study as high conductivity (HC) and the sandy clay loam as low conductivity (LC), based on their apparent conductivity values (as measured with the Geonics EM38-MK2 EM instrument).

Maturity control was performed separately on the two blocks, and both were harvested and processed identically but separately. Two t-bins of each were fermented (Premier Cru 20g/hL)), pressed, and racked to 5 identical barrels. All barrels went through MLF with bacteria added (VP41 1g/hL) and were treated with 5g/hL SO2 and 0.3g/L H2T to adjust pH below 3.8.

Results:

Maturity control showed no significant variations in Brix, pH, TA or berry weight. Lab analysis of standard metrics shows minimal differences between the high and low conductivity fractions (Figure 1). However, there are differences in some micronutrients such as potassium, which are elevated in the high conductivity fraction.

l iguie 2								
					Gra	Ро		
	ac.	lbs	hL	Brix	vity	t. Alc	рН	TA
LC	0.75	4804	14.4	24	1101	14	3.6	5.13
НС	0.75	5012	15.1	24	1103	14	3.7	5

	wine	VA (g/L)	SO2 T (mg/L)	SO2 F (mg/L)	RS (g/L)	Alc. % vol.	рН	ТА	mal/lac (g/L)
11/3					0.1				
/14	LC	0.39			3	13.8	3.85	6.3	

Figure 2

	НС	0.35			0.0	13.8	4.01	5.9	
11/1 8/14	LC						3.71		
	НС						3.86		
12/8				2	<1	13.6			M<0.3
/14	LC	0.55	64	9	g/L	1	3.72	4.3	L=1
					<1	13.4			M<0.3
	HC	0.49	64	32	g/L	6	3.94	4	L=1.3
									K+(m
	Ca2-	+ (mg/L)	Na2+ (mg/L)		Mg2+(mg/L)		Mn (mg/L)		g/L)
12/8/1									
4	LC	72.38	19.51		98.2		1.12		1505
	HC	67.83	22.62			113.9	2		2250

Triangle testing showed no discernable taste difference between groups. However, among those that were able to tell a difference, there was a strong sensory preference for the high conductivity fraction (Figure 2).

Figure 3	
	%
Treatment	Preferred
Control (LC)	25
Trial (HC)	75

Discussion:

Increased or decreased levels of potassium in finished wines can have a drastic effect on pH and flavor profiles. In this experiment, relative soil potassium levels correlate to relative potassium levels in the finished wines. Conductivity mapping of vineyard soils shows promise as a tool to help characterize the spatial variability of potassium and other nutrients important to viticulture and wine quality.

Based on this study and numerous other trials performed by HydroGeo Environmental, LLC, soil conductivity mapping alone appears to provide little information applicable to winemaking; however, when utilized in combination with extensive ground-truth data (i.e. plant tissue data where available, soil chemical, hydrologic and physical data), can be a powerful tool to help characterize vineyard site variability as it applies to vine performance and wine quality.

More experiments like this will help build the collective knowledge base of how soil conductivity mapping applies not only to characterizing site variability, but how it can best be applied in the context of viticulture. Annual repetition of this experiment will be important, as well as similar experiments with other varietals/blocks.

Conclusion:

Variations in the soil conductivity of this Cab Franc block are correlated with micronutrient and preference variations in the wine.

References

https://pubs.ext.vt.edu/442/442-508/442-508_pdf.pdf

https://www.lsuagcenter.com/NR/rdonlyres/E57E82A0-3B99-4DEE-99B5-CF2AD7C43 AEF/77101/pub3185whatissoilelectricalconductivityHIGHRES.pdf

Soil Electrical Conductivity. USDA NRCS. Accessed 2016. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_053280.pdf